

Figure 3. Logarithmic dependences of the rate of reduction on BV²⁺ concentration (A) and plasma power (B).

bromides of methyl-, n-propyl-, n-hexyl-, and n-laurylviologens both as solid or solvated samples even with 10 min of exposure to the plasma at 100 W.

The interesting feature here is that the spontaneous reduction occurs in the plasma-exposed DMF solution of BV^{2+} after the plasma is quenched. Thus, the absorbance of BV^{2+} in DMF (2.4 \times 10⁻³ M), exposed to the plasma for 60 s at 100 W, increased ca. 8 times when the solution was held for 60 min at room temperature in vacuo. The reduction also took place when BV²⁺ was mixed with DMF that had been exposed to the plasma a few days prior to mixing. It was also found that plasma-exposed DMF is capable of initiating polymerization when vinyl monomers such as acrylamide, 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate, or methacrylic acid are mixed instead of BV2+. Gas chromatograms of plasma-exposed DMF showed no evidence of reaction product. These experimental facts imply that the reaction species generated by the act of the plasma are sufficiently long lived so as to induce "postreduction" and "postpolymerization" in DMF.

At present DMF and hexamethylphosphoramide are the only solvents in which the formation of the cation radical has been observed. No reduction of BV²⁺ occurs in Me₂SO, dioxane, acetonitrile, or water. Only frozen water exhibited the pale blue color of the cation radical at the surface when exposed to the plasma. The color, however, paled rapidly upon melting, presumably due to reoxidation via a back-electron transfer reaction.

The mechanism of the reduction under the effects of a lowpressure plasma has not been determined. However, the reduction is considered to occur by reaction with energetic electrons in the plasma directly at the gas-liquid interface, since the plasma penetrates only a few microns below most surfaces. It may also be possible that the reduction proceeds via solvated electrons which then transfer to BV^{2+} in DMF.

The results suggest not only a new method for quantitative studies of complicated elementary processes in plasma reactions but also provide a novel reducing intermediate for a variety of chemical reactions, including the production of hydrogen gas from water.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid from the Ministry of Education, Grant No. 455351 (1979). The authors are grateful to Professor Y. Kurimura (Ibaraki University) for useful discussions and to Drs. M. Kaneko and A. Yamada (The Institute of Physical and Chemical Research) for the measurement of the ESR spectra.

Registry No. BV2+.2Cl⁻, 1102-19-8; BV⁺.Cl⁻, 77938-64-8; poly(xylylviologen dichloride), 81534-71-6; acrylamide, 79-06-1; 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate, 868-77-9; methacrylic acid, 79-41-4; 4,4'-bipyridinebis-(chloromethyl)benzene copolymer, 81388-55-8.

Thermal Rearrangements of Encumbered Methylenecyclobutanes. 1. 6-Methylenebicyclo[3.2.0]heptane

Richard W. Holder* and Phyllis A. Leber¹

Department of Chemistry, University of New Mexico Albuquerque, New Mexico 87131 Received December 4, 1981

Upon being heated, methylenecyclobutanes undergo [1,3] sigmatropic rearrangements,²⁻⁵ one-center epimerizations,³⁻⁵ [2 + 2] cycloreversions,⁶ and perhaps other reactions. This rich chemistry has been reviewed by Gajewski,7 who earlier proposed8 meshed conrotatory-bevel motions for the preferred manner of ring opening (eq 1). If these motions lead directly to [1,3]



rearrangement as shown, C₃ will have migrated over the C₂-C₁-C_{α} allylic framework suprafacially (S) and with configurational inversion (I). Even though this SI combination constitutes one allowed^{9,16} pathway, the measured activation parameters² and MINDO/2 calculations¹³ for the degenerate rearrangement of the parent implicate a diradical intermediate or transition state such as i.

We report the thermal behavior of some encumbered bicyclic methylenecyclobutanes 1 which incorporate three useful structural features: the two allylic bonds (C_1-C_5, C_1-C_7) are distinct; [1,3] migration may only occur from cleavage of one of these (C_1-C_7) , and in that event, the migrating C_1 can only move from C_7 to C_{α} with retention of configuration.

Compound 1a is prepared¹⁴ by Wittig methylenation of ketone

Cambridge, MA. (5) (a) Baldwin, J. E.; Fleming, R. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 5249. (b) Baldwin, J. E.; Fleming, R. E. Ibid. 1973, 95, 5256. (c) Baldwin, J. E.; Fleming, R. E. Ibid. 1973, 95, 5261. (6) Chesick, J. P. J. Phys. Chem. 1961, 65, 2170.

(7) Gajewski, J. J. "Hydrocarbon Thermal Isomerizations"; Academic Press: New York, 1981; pp 90-94.

(8) Gajewski, J. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 5254.
(9) Woodward, R. B.; Hoffmann, R. "The Conservation of Orbital Symmetry"; Verlag Chemie: Weinheim, 1970; pp 114 ff.
(10) The other is antarafacially with configurational retention (AR). The

rare or unknown antarafacial mode might be particularly facile in this system;^{11,12} however, see footnote 6 of ref 8.

(11) Berson, J. A. In "Rearrangements in Ground and Excited States"; de Mayo, P., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, 1980; Vol. 1, p 375

(12) Berson, J. A.; Miyashi, T.; Jones II, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 3468, footnote 20.

(13) (a) Schoeller, W. W. Chem. Ber. 1975, 108, 1285. (b) Schoeller, W. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 5919.

⁽¹⁾ In part abstracted from Leber, P. A. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM, 1981.

⁽²⁾ Doering, W. von E., Gilbert, J. C. Tetrahedron Suppl. 7 1966, 22, 397.
(3) Flowers, M. C.; Gilbons, A. R. J. Chem. Soc. B 1971, 362.
(4) (a) Doering, W. von E., presented at the 21st National Organic Symposium, 1969. (b) Fossel, E. Ph.D. Dissertation, 1970, Harvard University,



2, itself the product of catalytic hydrogenation of the known¹⁵ dechlorinated adduct (3) of cyclopentadiene and dichloroketene¹⁶ (eq 2). Optically active 1a (1a*) is obtained analogously, be-



ginning with 3* enantiomerically enriched after recovery from treatment with 1/2 equiv of (+)- α -phenylethylamine.¹⁷ Deuterated derivative 1b is also prepared from 2, but to avoid scrambling,² the Bertini¹⁸ procedure is used. After purification by preparative gas chromatography (GC) 1a (and 1a*) and 1b have C/H analyses and spectral parameters¹⁹ in accord with their assigned structures. Ozonolysis of 1a (1a*) affords 2 (2*).

Pyrolyses were carried out at 320 ± 0.5 °C in the gas phase (pressure up to 275 \pm 5 torr with N₂) in a well-seasoned Pyrex static reactor. Racemic la is recovered unchanged (≥98% by analytical GC) after 24 h. However 1a* racemizes and 1b automerizes to 1c under these conditions. The former reaction is followed by examining the molar ellipticity²⁰ of 2*, formed by microozonolysis of recovered 1a*, and comparing the values to that of **2*** prior to methylenation and pyrolysis.²¹ The latter reaction is followed by measuring the growth of the vinyl signals of 1c in the ¹H NMR spectrum. Table I presents the data.

Both reactions obey first-order approach-to-equilibrium kinetics. Racemization, with $(k_1 + k_{-1})_{rac} = (5.41 \pm 0.18)^{22} \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$, is faster than automerization, with $(k_1 + k_{-1})_{aut} = (1.45 \pm 0.20)^{22} \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$, by a factor of 3.7.²³ We attribute racemization to C_1-C_5 cleavage, followed by ring-flip, and C_1-C_5 rebonding with configurational inversion of both carbons (eq 3). Although such



(14) 1a has been obtained previously (by a route unsuitable for our purposes), but no physical properties were reported: Lukas, J. H.; Kouwenhoven, A. P.; Baardman, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1975, 14, 709.
 (15) Holder, R. W. J. Chem. Educ. 1976, 53, 81.

(16) Minns, R. A. Org. Synth. 1977, 57, 117.

(17) Huber, U. A.; Dreiding, A. S. Helv. Chim. Acta 1970, 53, 495.
(18) (a) Bertini, F.; Grasselli, P.; Zubian, G. Tetrahedron 1970, 26, 1281.
(b) Hasselmann, D. Chem. Ber. 1974, 107, 3486.

(b) reasonality D. Chem. Ber. 1974, 107, 3480. (19) 1a: IR (neat, NaCl) 3070, 2950, 2855, 1670, 875 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 4.7 (2 H, m), 3.2 (1 H, br s), 2.7 (2 H, m), 2.0 (1 H, m), 1.6 (6 H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 153.72 (s), 105.64 (t), 48.05 (d), 35.13 (t), 34.08 (d), 33.34 (t), 32.87 (t), 24.45 (t). **1b** shows the expected differences, and we list NMB concepts to be 200% distant of the expected differences, and by ¹H NMR appears to be \geq 98% deuterated. (20) Computed from the circular dichroism spectra measured by a JASCO

J-40C spectropolarimeter interfaced with a PDP-11 minicomputer. We thank the National Science Foundation for partial support (CHE 76-05684) toward the purchase of this instrument and Professor F. Allen and W. Rahe for their assistance.

(21) A control experiment established that racemization does not attend these chemical steps.

(22) The uncertainty quoted is 2σ of the slope of the least-squares line (95% confidence level).

(23) Remarkably close to a value of 4.1 calculated by assuming that the relative rate depends only on $\Delta\Delta H_f^{\circ}$ of the two hypothetical diradicals (eq 3, 4) if each ΔH_f° is estimated by using the Benson²⁴ approach, corrected²⁵ values for several parameters, and the known²⁶ ΔH_{f}° 's of ethylcyclopentane

values for several parameters, and the known " Dr₁'s of ethylogobentane and cycloheptane. Details will be presented in the full paper.
(24) Benson, S. W. "Thermochemical Kinetics"; Wiley: New York, 1976.
(25) Doering, W. von E. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1981, 78, 5279.
(26) Pedley, J. B.; Rylance, J. "Sussex-N.P.L. Computer Analyzed Thermochemical Data: Organic and Organometallic Compounds"; University of Susset: Susset Several 1077. of Sussex: Sussex, England, 1977.

Table I. Experimental Data for Racemization (1a*) and Automerization (1b) at 320 °C in the Gas Phase

pyrolysis time, s	racemization, 1a*	automerization, $1b \rightleftharpoons 1c$	
	of 2*)	v ^{c,d}	b ^{e,d}
0	1033 ± 10	3.06 ± 1.48	64.7 ± 0.6
3600	823.0 ± 8.0	7.31 ± 0.85	71.4 ± 1.1
7 200	676.6 ± 9.0	12.7 ± 3.3	64.7 ± 2.9
14 4 0 0		16.1 ± 0.8	64.1 ± 2.1
20 7 00	332.9 ± 6.6		
32 400		27.4 ± 2.8	65.7 ± 2.4
[∞]	0^{f}	38.6 ^g	38.3 ^g

^a Molar ellipticity (deg cm² dmol⁻¹) from the circular dichroism spectra of 2* prepared from recovered 1a*; see text. ^b Uncertainties are estimated as 1-2% from the instrument specifications. ^c Integration (arbitrary units) of vinyl hydrogens at C_{α} of 1c + 1b. ^d Uncertainties are the average deviations of at least three separate integrations. ^e Integration (arbitrary units) of bridgehead hydro-gen at C_s used as an internal standard. ^f Assumed. ^g An independent measurement from a single pyrolysis at 360 °C for 5 days. Neglecting the 2 °C deuterium isotope effect and assuming K_{eq} for $1b \rightleftharpoons 1c = 1.00$ make no difference in $(k_1 + k_{-1})_{aut}$.

ring inversions have been observed before in more strained bicyclic systems,²⁷ this constitutes the first demonstration of a two-center epimerization of a methylenecyclobutane of which we are aware.²⁸ Our experiment does not identify the rotational senses of the inversion, but models show C_6-C_5/C_7-C_1 disrotation is sterically preferable to pure conrotation or a severely restrained conrotatory-bevel process.

We suggest automerization occurs via C_1-C_7 cleavage and migration of C_1 to C_{α} (eq 4). This reaction, which can occur



by a restricted (in that C1 must move with retention) conrotatory-bevel pathway, is slower by only a factor of ca. 5 than automerization of methylenecyclobutane itself² at the same temperature.

There is no doubt that fruitful bond cleavages in small rings must include rotational components in the vibrational mode leading to rupture.²⁹ We suggest that our results show that even if the meshed conrotatory-bevel motions are those favored for an unencumbered methylenecyclobutane ring-opening, they are not very different energetically from other rotational senses and combinations.

In due course we will report on the thermal reactions of several monomethyl and dimethyl derivatives of 1a.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, for the support of this research. R.W.H. thanks Professors Jerome A, Berson, William von E. Doering, and Joseph J. Gajewski for helpful discussions and records his special appreciation to the latter two, who each hosted half of his sabbatical year.

Registry No. 1a, 3642-22-6.

^{(27) (}a) Chesick, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1962, 84, 3250. (b) Goldstein, (2) (a) Chesick, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1962, 84, 3250. (b) Goldstein,
 M. J.; Benzon, M. S. Ibid. 1972, 94, 5119. (c) Sinnewa, A.; van Rantwijk,
 F.; de Konig, A. J.; van Wijk, A. M.; van Bekkum, H. J. Tetrahedron 1976,
 32, 2269. (d) Lokensgard, D. M.; Dougherty, D. A.; Hilinski, E. F.; Berson,
 J. A. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1980, 77, 3090.

⁽²⁸⁾ Found absent in one system^{4b} and not detectable by the analysis method in another.⁵

^{(29) (}a) Doering, W. von E.; Sachdev, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 1168. (b) Doering, W. von E.; Mastrocola, A. R. Tetrahedron Suppl. 1 1981, 37, 329.